т.	
2	
3	•
4	
5	AUG 151989
6	ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTION AGENCY
7 8	UNITED STATES  HEARING CLERK ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  REGION 9
9	
10	In re: ) Docket No. TSCA-09-89-0015
11	ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA ) COMPLAINT
12	) AND ) NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY
13	Respondent. ) FOR HEARING
14	
15	I
16	COMPLAINT
17	This is a civil administrative action instituted pursuant to
18	Section 16(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA),
19	15 U.S.C. 2615(a). The Complainant is the United States
20	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 9. The Respondent
21	is Aluminum Company of America which is, and was at all times
22	relevant to this Complaint, a Pennsylvania registered
23	corporation, with a place of business located at 5151 Alcoa
24	Avenue, Vernon, California.
25	The Administrator of the EPA is authorized by TSCA Section
26	16(a)(1), 15 U.S.C. Section 2615(a)(1), to assess a civil penalty
27	not to exceed \$25,000 per day for each violation of TSCA

- 1 Section 15 (15 U.S.C. 2614). Authority to file a complaint to
- 2 assess a civil penalty has been delegated to the Regional
- 3 Administrator of the EPA, Region 9, by EPA Order 1200 TN 103, and
- 4 by the Regional Administrator to the Director, Air and Toxics
- 5 Division, by EPA Regional Order 1290.2A.
- 6 This Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing
- 7 (Complaint) serves as notice that the Complainant has reason to
- 8 believe that the Respondent has violated Section 15 of TSCA,
- 9 15 U.S.C. 2614, as follows:

10

- 11 Count I
- 12 1. Aluminum Corporation of America, a "person" within the meaning
- of 40 C.F.R. 761.3 (hereinafter "Respondent") operates a
- facility located at 5151 Alcoa Avenue, Vernon, California
- 15 (hereinafter "Facility").
- 16 2. On May 11, 1989, representatives of the U.S EPA conducted an
- inspection of the Facility to determine compliance with
- 18 Federal regulations, 40 CFR part 761 et seq., governing
- 19 PCBs.
- 20 3. At the time of inspection, the Respondent owned and operated
- 21 hydraulic systems that had contained PCBs at concentrations
- above 50 ppm at the Facility, and therefore is subject to 40
- 23 C.F.R. 761.30(e) which regulates the use of PCBs in
- 24 hydraulic systems.
- 25 4. 40 C.F.R. Section 761.30(e) requires that each person who
- owns a hydraulic system that ever contained PCBs at
- concentrations above 50 ppm, must test the concentration of

PCBs in the hydraulic fluid of each system, no later
November 1, 1979 and at least annually thereafter. All test
sampling must be performed at least three months after the
most recent fluid refilling. When a test shows that the PCB
concentration is less that 50 ppm, testing under this
paragraph is no longer required. Within six months of a
test, under paragraph (e) (1) of this section that indicates
that a system's fluid contains 50 ppm or greater PCB, the
system must be drained of the PCBs and refilled with fluid
containing less than 50 ppm PCB. Data obtained as a result
of paragraph (e) (1) of this section must be retained for
five years after the hydraulic system reaches 50 ppm.

5.

At the time of inspection, the Inspectors observed that Respondent had failed to test three hydraulic systems (the 1,000 Ton Forge Peanut Press, the Straightening Press, and the Peeler) for PCB concentrations by November 1, 1979 and failed to reduce the concentration of these hydraulic systems to less than 50 ppm PCBs, in violation of 40 C.F.R. 761.30(e)(1) and 15 U.S.C. 2614(1)(C).

## Count II

- 1. Count II incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraph Numbers 1 and 2 of Count I as if fully recited herein.
- 24 2. At the time of inspection, the Inspectors determined that
  25 the Respondent had, prior to 1988, owned and operated PCB
  26 capacitors at the Facility, and, at the time of inspection,
  27 maintained PCB hydraulic systems at the Facility, and

- therefore is subject to the requirements of 40 C.F.R. 761.60
- which regulates the disposal of PCBs and PCB Items.
- 3 3. Spills, leaks, and other uncontrolled discharges of PCBs at
- 4 concentrations of 50 ppm or greater constitute the disposal
- of PCBs. 40 C.F.R. 761.60(d)(1). Unless otherwise
- 6 specifically provided, PCBs must be disposed of in an EPA
- 7 approved incinerator, chemical waste landfill, or high
- 8 efficiency boiler. 40 C.F.R. 761.60(a).
- 9 4. At the time of inspection, the Inspectors obtained evidence
- indicating PCB spills with PCB contamination ranging from 34
- ppm to 4400 ppm at the following locations: Floor #10 Press
- Capacitor Area, #10 Press Wall "Lt," #10 Press Pump Area,
- #10 Press Wall "R," and Peanut Press Tract 4. Such releases
- or spills by Respondent into the environment constitute
- uncontrolled discharges of PCBs under 40 C.F.R.
- 16 761.60(d)(1), in violation of 40 C.F.R. 761.60(a) and 15
- 17 U.S.C. 2614(1)(C).
- 18 <u>Count III</u>
- 19 1. Count III incorporates by reference the allegations
- contained in Paragraph Numbers 1 and 2 in Count I as if
- 21 fully recited herein.
- 22 2. At the time of inspection, Respondent stored one PCB
- 23 hydraulic system (1,000 Ton Forge Peanut Press) for disposal
- 24 at the Facility, and therefore is subject to the
- requirements of 40 C.F.R. 761.65 which regulates the storage
- of PCB Items.
- 27 ///

- 1 3. PCB hydraulic systems are PCB Articles and PCB Items within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. 761.3.
- 3 4. 40 C.F.R. 761.65(a) requires that PCB Items stored for
- disposal before January 1, 1983 shall have been disposed of
- by January 1, 1984. 40 C.F.R. 761.65(a). PCB Items stored
- 6 for disposal after January 1, 1983 shall be disposed of
- 7 within one year from their initial date of storage.
- 8 40 C.F.R. 761.65(a).
- 9 5. Information collected by the Inspectors at the time of
- inspection indicated that the 1,000 Ton Forge Peanut Press
- 11 had been in storage for disposal prior to January 1, 1983
- 12 and Respondent had failed to dispose of the it before
- 13 January 1, 1984, in violation of 40 C.F.R. 761.65(a), and
- 14 15 U.S.C. 2614(1)(C).
- 15 Count IV
- 16 1. Count IV incorporates by reference the allegations contained
- in Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Count I as if fully recited herein.
- 18 2. At the time of inspection, the Inspectors determined that
- 19 the Respondent had, prior to 1988, owned and operated
- 20 approximately 50 60 PCB large capacitors at the Facility,
- and therefore is subject to 40 C.F.R. 761.180(a) which
- 22 requires recordkeeping for PCBs and PCB Items.
- 23 3. Owners and operators of facilities using PCBs and PCB Items
- or storing PCBs and PCB Items for reuse shall develop and
- 25 maintain records on the disposition of PCBs and PCB Items
- which include the information specified in 40 C.F.R. 761.180
- 27 (a) (1)-(3). These records shall form the basis of an annual

1	document which is to be prepared by July I covering the
2	previous calendar year. 40 C.F.R. 761.180(a).
3	4. At the time of inspection, the Inspectors observed that
4	Respondent had failed to prepare PCB annual documents for
5	the calendar years 1978 through 1982 and that PCB annual
6	documents for calendar years 1983 through 1987 failed to
7	include all information specified by 40 C.F.R. 761.180(a)(1)
8	through (3), in violation of 40 C.F.R. 761. 180(a), and
9	15 U.S.C. 2614(1)(C).
10	II
11	PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY
12	Section 16(a) of TSCA, 15 USC 2615(a), authorizes a civil
13	penalty of up to \$25,000 per day for each violation of Section 15
14	of TSCA, 15 USC 2614. The civil penalty assessment is derived
15	through application of the "Guidelines for Assessment of Civil
16	Penalties Under Section 16 of the Toxic Substances Control Act;
17	PCB Penalty Policy" Federal Register, Vol. 45, No. 177
18	(September 10, 1980). Based upon the foregoing Guidelines, EPA
19	proposes to assess the following civil penalty:
20	<u>Count I</u>
21	IMPROPER USE (Failure to test and reduce concentrations of PCB hydraulic systems)\$3,000.00
22	40 C.F.R. 761.30(e) 15 U.S.C. 2614 (1)(C)
23	Count II
24	IMPROPER DISPOSAL (PCB Spills)\$5,000.
25	40 C.F.R. 761.60(a) and (d) 15 U.S.C. 2614 (1)(C)
26	///
27	///

7	count 111
2	IMPROPER STORAGE FOR DISPOSAL (Failure to dispose of PCB hydraulic system by 1/1/84)\$1,500.00
3	40 C.F.R. 761.65 15 U.S.C. 2614 (1)(C)
4	Count IV
5	IMPROPER RECORDKEEPING (Failure to prepare and maintain
6	complete PCB annual documents)\$ 200.00 40 C.F.R. 761.180
7	15 U.S.C. 2614 (1)(C)
8	Total Proposed Penalty\$9,700.00
9	III
10	NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING
11	You have the right to request a hearing on any material fact
12	contained in the Complaint or the amount of the proposed penalty.
13	If you wish to request a hearing and avoid being found in
14	default, you must file a written Answer to the Complaint with the
15	Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA Region 9, 215 Fremont Street, San
16	Francisco, California 94105, within twenty (20) days of your
17	receipt of the Complaint. The Answer must clearly and directly
18	admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained
19	in the Complaint with regard to which you have any knowledge. A
20	failure to admit, deny, or explain any material fact allegation
21	will constitute an admission of the allegation. The Answer must
22	also state (1) the circumstances or arguments which constitute
23	the grounds of defense, (2) the facts which you intend to place
24	at issue, and (3) whether a hearing is requested.
25	If you fail to file a written Answer within twenty (20) days
26	of your receipt of the Complaint, you may be found in default.
27	Your default will constitute an admission of all facts alleged in

- 1 the Complaint and a waiver of your right to a hearing. The
- 2 penalty proposed in the Complaint will be imposed without further
- 3 proceedings.
- 4 The public hearing that you request will be held in a
- 5 location determined in accordance with 40 C.F.R. Part 22. The
- 6 hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of
- 7 the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq., and the
- 8 Rules of Practice, 40 CFR Part 22 (45 F.R. 26360), a copy of
- 9 which accompanies the Complaint.

10 IV

## 11 <u>SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE</u>

- Whether or not you request a hearing, you may confer
- informally with EPA to discuss the alleged facts, violations or
- 14 amount of the proposed penalty. An informal conference does not,
- 15 however, affect your obligation to file a written Answer within
- twenty (20) days of your receipt of the Complaint.
- 17 Any settlement reached as a result of an informal conference
- 18 will be embodied in a written Consent Agreement and Order. The
- 19 issuance of the Consent Agreement and order will constitute a
- 20 waiver of your right to a hearing on any matter to which you have
- 21 stipulated.
- If a settlement cannot be reached through an informal
- 23 conference, the filing of a written Answer within twenty (20)
- 24 days of your receipt of the Complaint will preserve your right to
- 25 a hearing.
- 26 EPA encourages all parties against whom a penalty is
- 27 proposed to explore the possibility of settlement. To request an

informal conference, you should contact David M. Jones, Assistant Regional Counsel, EPA, Region 9, 215 Fremont Street, San Francisco, California 94105, telephone number (415) 974-7364. Date David P. Howekamp Director Air and Toxics Division 

## CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the original and one copy of the foregoing Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, Docket No. TSCA-09-89-0015 was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, and that a copy addressed as follows, was served by mailing certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, in a United States Postal Mail Box, at City and County of San Francisco, California, on the 14th day of August , 1989:

Paul O'Neill Certified Mail No.
President and Chief Executive Officer P-841 509 821
Aluminum Company of America
1501 Alcoa Building
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

C. T. Corporation System
Registered Agent for Aluminum
Company of America
818 West 7th Street
Suite 1004
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Certified Mail Nol P-765 057 434

Dated at City and County of San Francisco, California, this 14th \_\_\_\_ day of August \_\_\_\_, 1989.

Greg Pennington Secretary
Pesticides and Toxics Branch
Air and Toxics Division
EPA, Region 9